IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.245 OF 2003.

Mohammed Siraj Mohd.Naseem. ... Applicant.
Versus.
The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... Respondents.

Shri A.N.Maniar for the Applicant.
Shri K.V.Saste, A.P.P.for the Respondent No.1-State.

CORAM : ABHAY S. OKA, J.
DATED : 20th July, 2005.

P.C.:

1. The State has filed charge sheet against the Respondent No.2 for offences under sections 323, 324, 342, read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The investigation was carried out by the Police on the basis of the order dated 23rd June 1993 passed by this Court in Criminal Writ Petition No.970 of 1992. The prayer in the Application made by the Applicant which is rejected by the learned trial Judge is that the Respondent No.3 herein may be added as one of the accused.

2. The learned trial Judge has rightly observed that there is no material whatsoever available to proceed against the Respondent No.3. In my view there is no error in the order impugned. Application is rejected.

Judge.